

Scoring Rubric: Tech Innovation Award

Script Your Future Medication Adherence Team Challenge Scoring Rubric: Tech Innovation Award

There are four focused activity awards:

- Health Disparity/Under-represented Community Outreach Award
- Creative Interprofessional Team Event Award
- Media/Communications Outreach Award
- **Tech Innovation Award**

For the *Tech Innovations Award*, reviewers should look for how the technology intervention(s) completed by the Team complement the broader activities over the 2-month course of the Challenge. There should be descriptions of the types of technology used to conduct outreach activities.

Focused activity award applications, which should be no more than three pages, will be reviewed separately from the national award applications. There are five areas for scoring applications, weighted as follows:

Creative Use of Technology	20 points
Specific Interventions	25 points
Scalability	20 points
Lessons Learned	10 points
Outcomes Measured	25 points
TOTAL	100 points

Applications will be graded using a scale from 1-10 (with 10 representing the highest quality/points). Reviewers will input the score in each category using the range of 1-10. The worksheet will automatically multiply and populate the spreadsheet, totaling the final score.

Scoring Rubric: Tech Innovation Award

Overall Influence	<i>Non-Applicable (0 points)</i>	<i>Fair (1 -4 points)</i>	<i>Good (5-7 points)</i>	<i>Excellent (8-10 points)</i>
Utilization of Technology Does the Team present a methodology for selecting the use of technology and customized outreach activities?	This proposal does not describe a methodology for selecting its technology or outreach activities.	This proposal slightly describes a methodology for selecting its technology and customized outreach activities.	This proposal moderately describes a methodology for selecting its technology and customized outreach activities.	This proposal describes a strong methodology for selecting its technology and customized outreach activities.
Specific Interventions Does the Team report counseling patients about the types of technologies available? Did the outreach activities suit the patient's needs?	This proposal does not describe how activities match community needs, does not report counseling patients, and lacks data on the use of technology.	This proposal slightly describes how activities match community needs. Reports counseling patients, and limited use of technology in outreach.	This proposal moderately describes how activities match community needs. Reports counseling patients and adequate use of technology.	This proposal strongly describes how activities match community needs. Reports counseling patients and the robust use of technology in outreach.
Scalability Does this effort have a lasting impact on the community and can another group replicate its success?	This proposal does not describe a lasting impact on the community and it can NOT be replicated.	This proposal describes a fairly lasting impact on the community and can NOT be replicated.	This proposal moderately describes a lasting impact on the community and CAN be replicated.	This proposal describes a strong, lasting impact on the community and CAN be replicated.
Lessons Learned Does the Team offer thoughtful solutions to the barriers encountered through the targeted effort?	This proposal does not describe barriers or solutions to any barriers encountered through the effort.	This proposal slightly describes solutions sought by the Team when barriers were encountered.	This proposal moderately describes solutions sought by the Team when barriers were encountered.	This proposal very effectively describes solutions sought by the Team when barriers were encountered.
Outcomes Measured Does the Team report data to support the measured outcomes of its activity(s)?	This proposal does not report data to support measured outcomes of its activity(s).	This proposal reports 1-3 data points from the recommended list or self-designed data.	This proposal reports 4-8 data points from the recommended list or self-designed data.	This proposal reports 9+ data points from the recommended list or self-designed data.

*** Reference the National Award Rubric appendix or the Events/Activities Log for suggested outcome metrics.*